

BAHAGIAN 2 (Diisi oleh penilai)

SECTION 2 (to be filled up by the assessor)

No	ASPECT OF ASSESSMENT	MARKS (M)					WEIGHTED MARKS OBTAINED (%)
		(Please circle the appropriate marks for each section)					
1	Title of the research (5 %)	1	2	3	4	5	(= M x 1)
		No reflection of research	Minimal reflection of research	Moderate reflection of research	Clear reflection of research	very clear reflection of research	CO1 PO1
		Comments:					
2	Problem Statement (20 %)	1	2	3	4	5	(= M x 2)
		No analysis of problem	Minimal analysis of problem	Moderate analysis of problem	Clear analysis of problem	very clear analysis of problem	CO2 PO2
	a) Analysis of the problem (10 %) Comments:						
	b) Rationale and justification for research gap (10 %)					(= M x 2)	
	No rationale and justification	Minimal rationale and justification	Moderate rationale and justification	Clear rationale and justification	very clear rationale and justification	CO2 PO2	
Comments:							

3	Research objectives (20 %)	1	2	3	4	5	(= M x 4)
		Research objectives are not described	Research objectives are described but not	Research objectives are described but	Research objectives are described clearly	Research objectives are described very	CO2 PO3
		Comments:					
4	Literature Review (20 %)	1	2	3	4	5	(= M x 2)
		Unable to logically organize bodies of knowledge	Some evidence of well organized /logical bodies of knowledge	Moderate evidence of well organized / logical bodies of knowledge	Good evidence of well organized / logical bodies of knowledge	Very good evidence of well organized / logical bodies of knowledge	CO1 PO4
	Comments:						
	a) Able to organize different bodies of knowledge logically (10 %)	1	2	3	4	5	(= M x 2)
Absents of Research framework		Unclear research framework	Moderate clear research framework	Clear research framework	Very clear research framework	CO3 PO6	
b) Proposed reseach framework (10 %)	Comments:						

5	Research Methodology (20 %)	1	2	3	4	5	(= M x 4)
		absence of data collection method / highly inaccurate choice of sampling/ no procedure or technique or experimental setup	unclear data collection method / inaccurate choice of sampling/ unclear procedure or technique or experimental setup	moderate clear data collection method / Moderate accurate choice of sampling/ moderately clear procedure or technique or experimental setup	clear data collection method / accurate choice of sampling/ clear procedure or technique or experimental setup	very clear data collection method / highly accurate choice of sampling/ very clear procedure or technique or experimental setup	CO1 PO6
a) Method of data collection and analysis b) sampling design c) procedure / technique / experimental setup	Comments:						
6	Significant / Applied Value of Research (15 %)	1	2	3	4	5	(= M x 3)
		No significance of study	Vague significance of study	Moderately clear significance of study	clear significance of study	very clear significance of study	CO3 PO7
	Comments:						
TOTAL MARKS (%)							

OUTCOME OF THE DEFENSE PROJECT/DISSERTATION PROPOSAL

Sila tandakan (/) satu daripada dibawah

Please tick (/) one of the following

TOTAL MARKS	RANKING	INTERPRETATION
80 - 100	1	Proposal accepted without amendments. Students can proceed
60 - 79	2	Proposal accepted with minimal amendments. Proposal with amendments as recommended by the panel of assessors must be submitted to and verified by the Faculty within one (1) month of the date of DRP. Student can then proceed.
40 - 59	3	Major amendments. Student is required to resubmit the emended proposal and present again at the Faculty in the following semester
0 - 40	4	Proposal rejected. Student is Required to prepare a new proposal and present again at the faculty in the following semester

Name of Assessor:

Faculty:

Signature:

Date:
